

The Human Race a dying breed?

by Denie Hiestand

Talking to my mother, who is well into her 90s, I was surprised to learn that none of her sisters, none of her brothers' wives, none of her large extended family and none of her friends or anybody she knew in her church ever had trouble getting pregnant. In fact, as my mother used to say, "Your dad only had to look at me and I almost conceived!" It was back in the days when big families were the norm, my mother had seven, her sister had twelve, another sister had eight – fit, healthy farm girls, all of them! And if you ask any of your grandmothers you will probably hear a similar story. Fifty, sixty, seventy, eighty years ago, infertility and the struggle to get pregnant was extraordinarily rare. Moving forward to today, infertility is one of the biggest medical problems facing women. In fact, in this country over 25 per cent of women have difficulty conceiving.

In a farming situation, if we had a 25 per cent conception problem we would be out of business, in other words, broke. If we had a 5 per cent conception problem the red flags would be raised. We would accept the fact that there was a serious health problem and put in place procedures to identify that problem and rectify it within one breeding cycle. Yet in the human scenario, nobody in the health department, nor doctors, are yelling from the roof tops about this serious health issue. There have only been a few research scientists who have had the guts to step out from the norm and write some hard-hitting papers exposing the seriousness of the situation.

If we extrapolate the drop-off in human fertility which has taken place in the past 15-25 years, through to the next two generations, our breeding ability will be, literally, zero and our population will crash. One of the things for us to note is that this fertility problem is nearly completely confined to the affluent white races of earth. Think about this ... in two more generations the breeding ability (if we keep going the way we are going) of the biggest part of the affluent Western world will be zero! ⇨



Once again, to fix a problem that exists using the level of thinking that created it just doesn't make sense. In other words, trying to shut the barn door after the horse has bolted is stupidity personified. So that brings us to the realisation that we had better work out what is going wrong and change the parameters to effect the outcome.

I started looking at this reality approximately 15 years ago in my clinic work when many young women were telling me of their difficulty to have a family. I was able to get many bodies going and reconstruct their breeding ability, and some truly immaculate pregnancies resulted. However, as always, I do not want to spend the rest of my life putting people's bodies back together, so I always look for the cause of what creates an unhealthy state and relay this to my clients so that they can be responsible for their lives and their bodies.

Just as the farmer is responsible for creating the environment so that the animals can be healthy, we have a responsibility, to ourselves and our families, to create an environment where we can be healthy.

So let's look at some of the environmental factors that have shown up since the 1950s that can be indexed to infertility. Scientists back in the 50s reported rapid and devastating population implosions in many species such as frogs, Florida alligators, polar bears and some North American bird species. These dramatic reductions in population were originally attributed to environmental changes, loss of habitat, shortage of food supply and climate, but it was only some years later upon analysing the data that scientists realised these environmental factors hadn't occurred to an extent that would cause such a rapid implosion in these species.

The lights went on in the scientific community when a couple of North American field biologists started collecting samples from the remaining population of the affected species and recorded horrendous reproductive system mutations within the males of all of the affected species. The biggest single physical defect that showed up was that the male reproductive organs weren't developed. In other words, the breeding

collapse was not because of lack of food supply, loss of habitat or weather related factors, but rather due to a mutation occurring in the development of the male, hence rendering the male sterile.

... but now we can also link synthetic chemicals in the mother's body, to the collapse of the next generation's ability to breed.

This defect was recorded across all of the affected species which had noted population declines; these results have been recorded and known in the scientific community and indexed to dioxin toxicity, its many derivatives such as DDE, the toxic chemicals commonly labelled as PCBs and over a 100 derivatives of the PCB family of chemicals. However, PCBs and DDT have largely been out of the Western world's environment for the past 25 years and, as a result, many of the natural species talked about so far, have regained their breeding capacity.

Back in the 50s, when the animals' breeding incapacity was first noticed, infertility amongst the affluent Western world was just starting to increase, but was relatively unnoticed and undocumented. In the past 10 years, or one more generation on, we have seen a dramatic increase of infertility in all the Western countries.

The factors that showed up in the animals from a biological point of view were a dramatic reduction in penis size in all the species of animals, and an up to 60 per cent or more drop in sperm count, and the sperm that were alive seem to have lost their way – they seemed to swim round and round instead of in a straight line. In all of the animal species, males were observed trying to mate with other males and largely ignoring fertile and on-heat females. In other words, the biological changes that were taking place were producing a large percentage of what we would term 'homosexuals', males with more female tendencies. Prior to this, most of us, including the scientific community, thought that homosexuality was a choice or personal preference, but we now know it is a purely hormonal driven, biological reality. So if this is a hormonal driven change, how did this take place, what triggered it and how can we measure this change to ascertain how serious the situation is?

Let's go back and have a little biology lesson here. When we are conceived, we are all actually conceived as females. Sorry guys – the girls win again!! It is a hormonal change, which is triggered within the fetus, and at various times in our young life, that releases very specific hormones at very specific times to create the male reproductive organs and thus the maleness of us.

If those hormones are not released precisely at the right time and in the right amount, we will not become complete males. We will have more and more female tendencies in a male body. It is a very touchy subject and very few doctors or researchers have done any measurement. However, it is a known fact, secretively within the medical community, that the 'small penis syndrome' is becoming more and more common. It is a well-known fact that since the 1970s the sperm count in the adult male in the US has dropped in excess of 70 per cent. And of course, many more young guys today have homosexual tendencies compared to 50 years ago.

The other physical indicator that every doctor, nurse or midwife should be aware of, is the measurement between the anus and the testicles which was recorded in every young male in times gone by, because that gave an accurate indication of the correct hormonal releases in vitro. This measurement has had a serious reduction in the last two generations of young male babies. These factors, which are recordable, known and without a doubt exist today, prove categorically that the male species' breeding capacity and the male's actual 'maleness' has had a hormone induced, devastating decline over the past three generations.

From the work that was done with the biologists, we know that synthetic chemicals in the body and the essential fatty acid reserves of the mother affect the hormonal triggering of her fetus. In other words, the chemical loading of the mother affects her ability to produce a biologically virile male. I have written many articles about the devastating effect of synthetic chemicals linked to breast cancer, but now we can also link synthetic chemicals in the mother's body, to the collapse of the next generation's ability to breed.

How do the synthetic chemicals get into the essential fatty acid reserves of the mothers, and why is it affecting only some demographic groups of our population? There is a very simple deduction.

For the past 50 years, or the past two generations, the affluent white

One of the things for us to note is that this fertility problem is nearly completely confined to the affluent white races of earth

female population of the Western world has had access to over 78,000 different synthetic chemicals of which many thousands have been mixed into anti-wrinkle creams, moisturisers, eye cream, sunscreen and night cream plastered on to the skin. Application via the skin, we know now, is the most perfect delivery system to the blood which is why doctors administer drugs via patches. This process is also used in agricultural science. We have been applying chemicals via the skin to have an effect inside the animal for in excess of thirty years. Pour-on drench for intestinal worms is the most common example, and a cow's hide is very much thicker and more resilient than human skin.

It is also a fact that over 50 per cent of all drugs administered in the Western world are by topical application. So, to be in denial that synthetic chemical compounds placed on your skin do not enter your blood is, in effect utter, stupidity. They will and they do.

Many of the synthetic chemical compounds used in skin care products over the past 50 years are known hormonal blockers. Many thousands have not been tested, nor have the combinations of the various chemicals, their repetitive daily applications and their effects on hormonal blocking ever been tested. However, testing is rather obsolete when the evidence of destruction is so glaringly obvious. Scientists have found out that it is not the ratio of parts per million that create dangerous toxic levels, it is parts per tens of trillions that can create the hormonal havoc. It is the synthesised chemical in the wrong place at the wrong time that is the problem, not how much of it is in the body.

Young lady – when you put that chemically-laced face cream on your skin as a teenager or as a young woman,

that chemical goes through your skin and enters your blood. Your blood cannot tolerate that chemical toxicity and your lymphatic drainage system, via the lymph nodes in your breast, will pull that molecule out of your blood and attempt to isolate it and keep it out of harm's

way. A few million molecules of synthetic chemicals later, you have your breast tumour. However, not all of the synthetic chemicals end up in breast tumours; your body, in its wisdom, pushes many out to the extremities and lays them down out of harm's way, in the essential fatty acid layers just inside your skin.

When you get pregnant, the fetus develops primarily by drawing from your essential fatty acid reserves in order to develop its body. If a group of molecules that the fetus draws from the mother's body contains a synthetic chemical, and that synthetic chemical gets pumped around the fetus' body at the precise time that a hormone trigger is meant to release a given hormone, that synthetic chemical will act like a radio jamming device and block that information and the hormone will not be released adequately or at all. Thus, the fetus' male development, which was meant to have taken place, will not now take place. In that millionth of a second, in that precise moment in time, we have destroyed the fertility and the breeding capacity of that male fetus. All from the face cream you put on your skin as a young girl.

We all need to wake up and take responsibility for the destruction we are foisting on an unsuspecting population. Infertility is at an all time high. Something needs to be done. ☺

Denie Hiestand is a health consultant, author of Electrical Nutrition, founder of the International Institute of Vibrational Wellness and Electric Body Skincare. Visit: www.vibrationalwellness.com for more information or email: info@vibrationalmedicine.com

Editor's note: Tell us what your thoughts are on the author's viewpoint of the dying human race. I would welcome your viewpoint. Mail your letter to: The Editor, Feedback, PO Box 13209, Tauranga 3141, NZ or email: editor@healthyoptions.co.nz

100% Vegetarian Formulas



Produced from the finest, natural ingredients, free from animal products.

Because it's Your Choice.

Look out for the suitable for vegetarians symbol on selected Radiance® nutritional products

- A NZ brand you can trust.

Radiance®

www.healthandherbs.co.nz